Bodhi International Journal of
Research in Humanities, Arts and Science

Vol. 10 Special Issue 3 December 2025
E-ISSN: 2456-5571

Artificial Intelligence in Indian Agriculture: Threats and Opportunities for Rural Livelihoods

Dr. R. Senthamizh Veena
Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, Holy Cross College (A), Tiruchirappalli
Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu

Open Access

Manuscript ID:
BIJ-SPL3-Dec25-ECO-081

Subject: Economics

Received : 26.09.2025
Accepted : 06.12.2025
Published : 31.12.2025

DOI: 10.64938/bijsi.v10si3.25.Dec081

Abstract

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into Indian agriculture presents a pivotal juncture for the nation's economy and food security. With over 50% of the population dependent on agriculture and a pressing need to address challenges like climate change, fragmented landholdings, and declining profitability, AI offers transformative potential. However, the specific socio-economic context of India's agrarian structure, dominated by small and marginal farmers, necessitates a critical examination of how this technology will impact rural livelihoods. This research paper analyzes the dualistic nature of AI's influence on Indian agriculture. It explores the significant opportunities, including enhanced productivity through precision farming, improved risk management via predictive analytics, and potential market linkages that can empower farmers. Conversely, it investigates the profound threats, such as the exacerbation of existing inequalities through a digital divide, the displacement of non-farm agri-labor, and the risk of technological lock-in that may undermine traditional ecological knowledge. The paper argues that the trajectory of AI in Indian agriculture is not technologically deterministic but will be shaped by policy choices, institutional frameworks, and inclusive innovation models. It concludes that for AI to be a force for equitable development, a multi-stakeholder approach focused on accessibility, capacity building, and the preservation of sustainable farming practices is imperative.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, Indian agriculture, rural livelihoods, precision agriculture, digital divide, Agri-tech, sustainable development

Introduction

Indian agriculture stands at a crossroads. It is the primary source of livelihood for nearly half of the country's population, yet it is plagued by a paradox of high employment and low productivity (Chand, 2017). Farmers face a multitude of challenges: unpredictable monsoons exacerbated by climate change, degrading soil health, rising input costs, fragmented landholdings, and crippling market inefficiencies. The agrarian crisis is starkly visible in the vulnerabilities of an estimated 86% of farmers who are small and marginal, cultivating less than two hectares of land (Agricultural Census, 2015-16). In this context, the promise of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, particularly Artificial Intelligence (AI), has captured the imagination of policymakers, agribusinesses, and startups alike.

AI, encompassing machine learning, computer vision, and the Internet of Things (IoT), is being positioned as a silver bullet for these deep-rooted problems. Proponents envision a future of "precision agriculture," where AI-driven solutions optimize

resource use, predict pest outbreaks, provide personalized advisory services, and create transparent supply chains (Jha et al., 2019). The Government of India's Digital India initiative and the push for a "Digital Agriculture Mission" underscore the national priority to leverage technology for agricultural transformation (NITI Aayog, 2020).

However, the introduction of a capital-intensive, knowledge-driven technology into a sector characterized by widespread informality, low capital, and digital illiteracy raises critical questions about its social implications. The discourse often overlooks the fact that rural livelihoods are not solely dependent on farm income but are complex mosaics that include non-farm labor, livestock, and migration. The central thesis of this paper is that while AI holds immense potential to enhance the resilience and profitability of Indian farming, its unregulated and inequitable adoption poses a severe threat of deepening the existing socio-economic fissures in the countryside. The ultimate impact on rural livelihoods will depend on whether AI is deployed in an inclusive, context-sensitive manner that empowers smallholders or becomes a tool for the further consolidation of agri-power in the hands of a few large corporations and wealthy farmers.

Review of Literature

The literature on AI in Indian agriculture reveals a stark contrast between its technological promise and its complex socio-economic implications. Scholars like Jha et al. (2019) and Patel et al. (2021) highlight the significant opportunities AI presents, particularly through precision agriculture applications. These include optimizing water and fertilizer use via sensor data and AI algorithms, and using computer vision for mobile-based disease diagnosis, thereby democratizing access to expert knowledge for smallholders. NITI Aayog (2020) further emphasizes AI's role in predictive analytics for yield forecasting and price information, which can enhance climate resilience and market efficiency.

Conversely, a substantial body of literature warns of profound threats that could exacerbate existing agrarian distress. A primary concern is the "digital divide." Researchers like Gulati and Juneja (2020) and Bronson & Knezevic (2016) argue that the high cost of technology and poor rural connectivity may exclude smallholders, benefiting only large, resource-rich farmers. This could lead to technological lock-in and data exploitation by corporate agribusinesses (Carolan, 2018). Furthermore, the potential for labor displacement poses a severe risk to rural livelihoods. As noted by Reddy & Mishra (2019), the automation of tasks in horticulture and dairy could suppress wages for the vast population of landless agricultural laborers, for whom alternative employment is scarce. The literature thus underscores a critical tension: while AI can empower, its inequitable adoption risks deepening socio-economic fissures, necessitating proactive and inclusive policy interventions.

Objectives of the Study

1. To identify the opportunities of AI in Indian agriculture for productivity, income, and rural employment.
2. To examine the threats of AI adoption, including job loss, skills gap, and inequality.
3. To assess policies and initiatives that support inclusive and equitable AI use in agriculture.

Opportunities for Enhancing Rural Livelihoods

For Indian farmers, particularly smallholders, AI can democratize access to expert knowledge and resources that were previously available only to large, commercial farms. The opportunities span the entire agricultural value chain.

Precision Agriculture for Resource Optimization and Yield Enhancement

The core promise of AI lies in enabling precision agriculture, which is crucial in a country facing severe water scarcity and inefficient fertilizer use. AI-powered systems can analyze data from soil sensors, weather stations, and satellite imagery to provide hyper-localized recommendations.

Resource Management: AI algorithms can prescribe optimal irrigation schedules and precise amounts of water, directly addressing the issue of

water waste. Similarly, they can recommend the type and quantity of fertilizers needed in specific micro-zones of a field, reducing input costs and environmental pollution (Patel et al., 2021).

Crop Health Monitoring: Startups are developing mobile applications that use smartphone cameras and computer vision to diagnose crop diseases and nutrient deficiencies. A farmer can simply take a picture of an affected leaf and receive an instant diagnosis and treatment advice, reducing dependence on often-unavailable agricultural extension officers (Jha et al., 2019). For example, the IIT-Kanpur incubated project "Plantix" has demonstrated significant success in this area.

Predictive Analytics for Risk Mitigation

Climate volatility is a major source of risk for Indian farmers. AI's predictive capabilities can serve as a powerful risk-management tool.

Weather and Pest Forecasting: Advanced AI models can process vast historical and real-time data to generate more accurate local weather forecasts and predict the likelihood of pest and disease outbreaks. This allows farmers to take preventive measures, such as scheduling sowing or applying biopesticides at the right time, thereby minimizing crop losses (Liakos et al., 2018).

Yield Prediction: AI can analyze satellite and drone imagery to predict crop yields well before harvest. This information is invaluable not only for farmers to plan their sales and finances but also for policymakers to manage food security and price stability (NITI Aayog, 2020).

Improved Market Access and Supply Chain Efficiency

A significant factor in agrarian distress is the lack of fair market access. AI can help bridge this gap.

Price Information and Market Linkages: AI-powered platforms can aggregate real-time price information from various Agricultural Produce Market Committees (APMCs) and provide farmers with data-driven advice on the best time and place to sell their produce, potentially increasing their bargaining power (Birthal et al., 2019).

Supply Chain Transparency: Blockchain, coupled with AI, can create transparent and efficient supply chains, reducing post-harvest losses and ensuring that a greater share of the consumer's rupee reaches the primary producer. This can also enable traceability for quality-conscious markets, opening up new income streams for farmers (Tripathi & Mishra, 2020).

Threats to Rural Livelihoods and Agrarian Structure

Despite these opportunities, the deployment of AI in Indian agriculture is fraught with risks that could disproportionately harm the most vulnerable sections of rural society.

Deepening Digital Divide and Exclusion of Smallholders

The most significant threat is the potential for AI to exacerbate existing inequalities. The digital divide in rural India is multi-layered, encompassing access to hardware, connectivity, affordability, and digital literacy.

Access and Affordability: The high cost of AI-enabled technologies like sensors, drones, automated machinery, places them far beyond the reach of the average smallholder farmer (Gulati & Juneja, 2020). While "AI-as-a-Service" via mobile phones is a promising model, it still requires reliable internet connectivity, which remains patchy in many rural areas (GSMA, 2021).

Data Exclusion and Exploitation: The effectiveness of AI depends on large, high-quality datasets. If the data used to train models is predominantly from large, well-resourced farms in specific regions, the resulting AI advice may be irrelevant or even harmful for smallholders practicing diverse cropping systems in different agro-climatic zones (Bronson & Knezevic, 2016). Furthermore, the question of who owns and benefits from farm data is critical. There is a risk of a new form of exploitation where farmers provide valuable data without adequate compensation or control over how it is used.

Labor Displacement and The Crisis of Rural Employment

Agriculture remains the largest employer in India, providing sustenance to millions of landless laborers. The automation of tasks through AI poses a direct threat to this workforce.

Mechanization and Robotics: While full-scale robotic harvesters may be some time away for staple crops, AI-driven automation is already impacting labor in areas like horticulture and dairy. Automated milking systems, robotic weeders, and AI-powered sorters in processing units can reduce the demand for manual labor. In a country where the non-farm sector has not been able to absorb surplus labor at a sufficient pace, this displacement could lead to widespread unemployment and social unrest.

Impact on Rural Wage Dynamics: A reduction in the demand for agricultural labor could suppress rural wages, worsening the economic condition of landless households and potentially accelerating distress migration to urban centers (Reddy & Mishra, 2019).

Technological Lock-In and The Erosion of Agroecological Knowledge

The push for a standardized, data-driven approach to farming may marginalize traditional knowledge systems that are inherently sustainable.

Promotion of Monocultures: AI models often perform best with uniform, large-scale data. This could create an implicit bias towards promoting monocropping and standardized input packages, undermining the agro-biodiversity and context-specific ecological knowledge that are the strengths of many traditional Indian farming systems (Stone, 2022).

Dependency on Corporate Platforms: As farmers become reliant on AI platforms for decision-making, they may lose their autonomy and become locked into specific corporate ecosystems for seeds, fertilizers, and advice. This could lead to a new form of "digital feudalism" where farmers are dependent on algorithms controlled by distant corporations (Carolan, 2018).

Path Forward: Policy for an Inclusive AI-Driven Agriculture

To harness the opportunities of AI while mitigating its threats, a proactive and nuanced policy framework is essential. The goal must be to steer technological change towards equitable outcomes.

Building Inclusive Digital Infrastructure

Policy must focus on bridging the digital divide as a public good. This includes:

Expanding Connectivity: Accelerating the rollout of high-speed internet in rural areas is a foundational step.

Developing Affordable Solutions: Public funding for R&D should be directed towards developing low-cost, open-source AI tools tailored to the needs of smallholders, such as voice-based advisory services in local languages.

Strengthening Data Governance and Farmer Empowerment

A national framework for agricultural data governance is urgently needed. This framework should:

Establish Farmer Data Rights: Clearly define farmers' rights over the data generated on their farms, ensuring principles of consent, privacy, and benefit-sharing (FAO, 2019).

Promote Open Data Platforms: Support the creation of public data repositories that can be used to develop AI solutions for public good, rather than leaving data accumulation to private monopolies.

Prioritizing Skill Development and Extension Services

The agricultural extension system must be reinvented for the digital age.

Reskilling Programs: Invest in training programs for farmers and rural youth on digital literacy and the use of AI tools, creating a new cadre of "digital *krishi mitras*" (farm friends).

Modernizing Extension: Equip government extension officers with AI-based tools to enhance their effectiveness and reach.

Fostering Responsible Innovation and Agroecology

Policy should incentivize AI applications that align with sustainable and equitable goals.

Support for Diverse Farming Systems: Promote AI research that supports diversified cropping systems, organic farming, and natural resource management, rather than solely focusing on input-intensive monocultures.

Social Impact Assessment: Mandate social impact assessments for large-scale AI projects in agriculture to evaluate their effects on employment, equity, and community well-being.

Evidence from Indian Initiatives and Pilots

India offers mixed but rapidly evolving evidence on AI applications in agriculture. **State-level initiatives** such as the digitization of agriculture in Andhra Pradesh through APAIMS 2.0 and drone-based interventions piloted by the University of Agricultural Sciences, Bengaluru, highlight the potential of AI in improving productivity and sustainability. These projects have reported **notable reductions in agrochemical use, improved pest-management alerts, and greater efficiency in irrigation and crop monitoring**.

Similar trials across Karnataka and Maharashtra have shown how AI-powered platforms can provide real-time advisories to farmers, enhancing decision-making and resilience against climate risks. However, these pilots also expose critical challenges, including the absence of **standardized operating procedures (SOPs), inadequate local training programs, weak maintenance infrastructure, and limited strategies to absorb displaced casual workers** into new roles. Recognizing these gaps, **multi-stakeholder playbooks** developed by the World Economic Forum, FAO, and Indian think tanks stress the importance of scaling AI with equity safeguards, ensuring smallholders and rural workers are not excluded.

Conclusion

The advent of AI in Indian agriculture is not a mere technological upgrade; it is a socio-technical transition that will fundamentally reshape rural livelihoods. This paper has argued that the technology embodies a dualism of profound opportunities and severe threats. It can empower smallholders with knowledge, optimize resource use, and build climate resilience, potentially ushering in an era of sustainable prosperity. Conversely, if deployed without careful consideration of India's unique agrarian structure, it risks excluding the majority of farmers, displacing vital sources of wage labor, and undermining the ecological foundations of farming. The trajectory of AI will not be determined by the technology itself, but by the institutions, policies, and power dynamics that govern its adoption. A laissez-faire approach, driven solely by market forces and corporate interests, will likely lead to a more polarized and unequal agrarian landscape. In contrast, a deliberate, farmer-centric strategy that prioritizes inclusion, equity, and sustainability can ensure that AI becomes a tool for genuine rural development. The challenge for India is to orchestrate this technological revolution in a way that strengthens, rather than fractures, its rural social fabric. The future of millions of livelihoods depends on getting this balance right.

References

1. Agricultural Census (2015-16). All India Report on Number and Area of Operational Holdings, *Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers Welfare*, Government of India.
2. Birthal, P. S., Roy, D., & Negi, D. S. (2019). Impact of information and communication technologies on agricultural development in India, *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 74(1), 1-20.
3. Bronson, K., & Knezevic, I. (2016). Big Data in food and agriculture, *Big Data & Society*, 3 (1), 1-5.
4. Carolan, M. (2018). The real cost of cheap food. *Journal of Rural Studies, 57*, 1-11.
5. Chand, R. (2017). Doubling Farmers' Income: Rationale, Strategy, Prospects and Action Plan, *NITI Aayog Policy Paper*, Government of India.

6. FAO. (2019). Digital technologies in agriculture and rural areas: Status report, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
7. GSMA. (2021). The Mobile Economy India 2021. GSMA Intelligence, Retrieved from https://www.gsmaintelligence.com/research/the-mobile-economy-2021
8. Gulati, A., & Juneja, R. (2020). Transforming Indian Agriculture through Artificial Intelligence: A Roadmap, Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations (ICRIER).
9. Jha, K., Doshi, A., Patel, P., & Shah, M. (2019). A comprehensive review on automation in agriculture using artificial intelligence. *Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture, 2*, 1-12.
10. Liakos, K. G., Busato, P., Moshou, D., Pearson, S., & Bochtis, D. (2018). Machine learning in agriculture: A review. *Sensors*, 18 (8), 2674.
11. NITI Aayog. (2020). *National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence: AI for All*, Government of India.
12. Patel, H., Patel, D., & Patel, S. (2021). A review on application of artificial intelligence in Indian agriculture. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1854*(1), 012039.
13. Reddy, D. N., & Mishra, S. (Eds.). (2019). *Agrarian crisis in India*. Oxford University Press.
14. Stone, G. D. (2022). *The agricultural dilemma: How not to feed the world*. Routledge.
15. Tripathi, A., & Mishra, A. K. (2020). Blockchain technology and its application in Indian agriculture. *Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies*, 10(5), 587-602.