Bodhi International Journal of
Research in Humanities, Arts and Science

Vol. 10 No. 4 December 2025
E-ISSN: 2456-5571

The Sacred & The Secular: Devotional Literature & The Making of India's Democratic Consciousness

Dr. P. Poongundran

Associate Professor& Head, Department of English

Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda College (A), Mylapore, Chennai, Tamil Nadu

Crossref doi Open Access

Manuscript ID: BIJ-2025-ES-068

Subject: English

Received : 29.08.2025
Accepted : 11.09.2025
Published : 31.12.2025

DOI:10.64938/bijsi.v10si4.25.Dec068

Abstract

The Indian Constitution represents a secular, democratic framework, yet its moral imagination is deeply interwoven with the country's religious and cultural traditions. This paper explores how devotional literature—especially the Bhakti tradition—functioned as a cultural precursor to India's constitutional ideals of justice, equality, and fraternity. By engaging with vernacular poetic voices such as Kabir, Ravidas, and Mirabai, the paper examines how their critiques of caste, hierarchy, and religious orthodoxy anticipated the ethical commitments embedded in the Constitution. Drawing upon postcolonial theory, literary analysis, and constitutional scholarship, the study argues that Bhakti poetry contributed to the shaping of India's democratic consciousness—not merely as spiritual expression but as political resistance. The sacred and the secular, often viewed as oppositional, are established here as historically co-constitutive in the evolution of Indian citizenship and moral modernity.

Keywords: devotional literature, constitutional morality, caste, secularism, democracy, and citizenship

Introduction

The Indian Constitution affirms liberty, equality, and justice within a secular democratic framework. Yet these values did not emerge ex nihilo but evolved through a layered moral heritage, particularly through vernacular traditions like Bhakti. Between the 8th and 17th centuries, Bhakti poets emerged as powerful voices against caste, religious dogma, and gender norms, offering a radical spiritual and social reimagining that prefigured constitutional ideals.

It is crucial to recognize that these poetic interventions were not isolated acts of rebellion, but sustained critiques embedded in larger epistemologies of resistance. Their significance lies not only in content but in form—oral, performative, emotionally charged—and thus, capable of mobilizing broad publics across linguistic, caste, and gender divides. The Bhakti movement's rootedness in the vernacular created an unprecedented ethical accessibility. In that sense, Bhakti should be regarded as a precursor to mass political consciousness in India, one that cultivated moral literacy long before electoral democracy took institutional shape.

Saint-poets such as Kabir, Ravidas, and Mirabai defied orthodoxy through devotional verse, constructing alternative ethical frameworks. Kabir questioned sectarian divisions and caste; Ravidas envisioned Begampura, a casteless utopia of equality; In his vision, Begampura is a utopian city—literally meaning "city without sorrow" (be-gam = without sorrow, pura = city). It's a place of equality, peace, and freedom from suffering, where no one is oppressed and everyone lives in harmony. This idea became a powerful symbol in the Bhakti

movement and among subalterns. Mirabai asserted gender autonomy and spiritual agency. Their poetic expressions challenged exclusionary systems not with legal authority but with cultural legitimacy, invoking inner moral order as the true basis of justice.

Ambedkar's idea of constitutional morality—commitment to justice beyond legal compliance—finds resonance in Bhakti ethics. Just as Ambedkar called for a civic ethic grounded in dignity and fraternity, Bhakti poetry offered a vernacular idiom of resistance, capable of ethical critique and popular pedagogy. Importantly, Ambedkar himself was deeply aware of these traditions; his turn to Buddhism, for instance, was not merely theological but symbolically aligned with centuries of counter-Brahmanical resistance—Bhakti being a prominent strand among them.

Bhakti's use of regional languages democratized access to spiritual thought, much like the Indian Constitution's recognition of linguistic diversity. The movement's affective appeal and cultural embeddedness made it an early vehicle for "public reason," offering the masses moral and political voice. In a society stratified by rigid social codes, this form of devotional pedagogy radically redefined who could speak, and to whom.

To deepen the comparative relevance, the study also engages Western secular traditions—particularly Charles Taylor's notion of the "immanent frame"—to show how Bhakti's spiritual dissent challenges rigid dichotomies of sacred/secular. Rather than a separation of religion and state, the Bhakti framework reflects an embedded pluralism that can inform alternative visions of secular democratic life. These comparisons enable a rethinking of the global category of secularism through an Indian moral-philosophical lens.

It examines how Bhakti poetry operated as an anticipatory force in shaping India's democratic imagination, contesting the notion that democracy is merely a modern or Western import. In fact, the Bhakti movement demonstrates that ethical democracy—rooted in shared dignity, mutual care, and public critique—has deep indigenous foundations that continue to inform political discourse today.

Materials & Methods

The study employs an interdisciplinary approach, combining literary analysis, political theory, and cultural historiography. The core texts are vernacular poems of Kabir, Ravidas, and Mirabai, analyzed for their rhetorical, theological, and ethical content.

Bhakti texts are not merely devotional in nature; they are epistemic interventions that blur the boundaries between affect and reason, spirituality and critique. Kabir's verse critiques institutional religion and caste; Ravidas constructs egalitarian utopias; Mirabai articulates gendered spiritual autonomy. These poems are treated not simply as aesthetic works but as vernacular political theory—intimate, affective, and ethical interventions in public life. They present what Gayatri Spivak might term a "subaltern epistemology," resisting hegemonic frames through indigenous discursive strategies.

Primary sources include translated collections: Songs of Kabir (Tagore, 2004), Poems of Sant Ravidas (Callewaert & Friedlander, 1992), and In the Dark of the Heart (Futehally, 1994). These are contextualized through secondary literature in postcolonial theory (Nandy, 1983), Indian historiography (Thapar, 2000), and constitutional philosophy (Bhargava, 2008).

The methodology is interpretive and dialogic, aligning historical context with literary form. Bhakti poetry is read not through the imposition of modern categories but as indigenous articulations of dignity and dissent. The emphasis on vernacular languages is foregrounded as both an epistemic and political act—making ethical thought accessible to historically marginalized groups. Here, Ranajit Guha's critique of elite historiography becomes especially pertinent: Bhakti poetry reveals how "history from below" can be articulated through poetic modes.

Feminist hermeneutics are applied to Mirabai's oeuvre, enabling a layered reading of devotion, gender, and resistance. Her work allows for a decolonial critique of both patriarchal control and Enlightenment secularism, offering an alternative imagination of freedom that is embodied, emotive, and spiritual.

The study thus recovers Bhakti as a public pedagogy of ethical consciousness, connecting pre-modern spiritual dissent with the moral

ambitions of modern democratic citizenship. This reconceptualization has implications not only for Indian political thought but also for comparative secularisms globally.

Findings & Results

1. Bhakti as Vernacular Social Critique

Bhakti's embrace of the vernacular anticipated the linguistic democratization enshrined in the Indian state's language policies. More significantly, it undermined knowledge monopolies, positioning the subaltern not merely as a subject of reform but as a source of ethical insight. This radical re-centering has implications for education, civic dialogue, and how we define rational discourse in plural societies.

Bhakti poetry served as a robust critique of caste, religious dogma, and social exclusion. Kabir's irreverent verses questioned the authority of both Hindu and Muslim orthodoxy, insisting that spiritual truth transcends identity categories. His famous line—"Kaun Brahman kaun Shudra?" translating to "Who is Brahmin & who is Shudra?"—dismantles casteist metaphysics with rhetorical simplicity.

Crucially, these critiques emerged not from formal institutions but from embodied experiences of marginality. Bhakti thus represents not just resistance but located resistance, arising from those positioned at the social peripheries. This lends the movement a unique ethical force—it is both insider and outsider, spiritual yet politically disruptive.

Ravidas's Begampura imagined a community free from fear and inequality. This utopia of dignity aligns with the constitutional vision of a welfare state. Far from being mystical escapism, Bhakti's spiritual critique was embedded in the material concerns of justice and human dignity. His rejection of social hierarchy was grounded in a vision of cosmic justice, prefiguring ideas that later found expression in the Indian Republic's Directive Principles of State Policy (DPSP).

2. The Vernacular as Ethical Medium

The Bhakti tradition also complicates current discourses on identity politics. In contrast to fixed identities, the saint-poets embraced hybridity—Kabir's simultaneous critique of both Hindu and Muslim orthodoxy, or Mirabai's dual identity as noblewoman and renunciant, reflect a refusal to be neatly categorized. This openness to ambiguity offers a valuable counter-narrative to rigid communal and gender identities currently resurging in political life.

In reclaiming Bhakti as a political grammar of dissent, we are invited to reconstruct secularism not as an evacuation of religion, but as a field of ethical negotiation. The future of democratic pluralism in India may well depend on whether such premodern sources of moral community are re-integrated into public discourse—not as relics, but as living traditions of resistance and renewal.

Bhakti's embrace of regional languages was a revolutionary act. By rejecting Sanskrit and Persian, poets such as Kabir, Ravidas, and Mirabai broke the monopoly of elite discourse, allowing laypeople to engage with ethical reflection. Their oral compositions spread via public recitation and singing—creating decentralized spaces for political and spiritual agency.

This act of vernacularization constituted a radical form of cultural democratization. As Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o has shown in other contexts, language is not merely a vehicle of communication but a site of political contestation. Bhakti poets recognized this and chose their linguistic medium deliberately—to ensure that the ethics of justice could not be monopolized by any priestly elite.

This linguistic democratization mirrors the Constitution's recognition of multilingualism and underscores the role of culture in shaping civic consciousness. Bhakti thus functioned as "ethical populism"—a participatory moral pedagogy speaking directly to the oppressed.

The task ahead is not simply to defend constitutional norms, but to rekindle the vernacular ethical imagination that once animated them. Poetry, song, and spiritual resilience—these are not peripheral to democracy but essential to its sustenance in the Indian context. In this light, Bhakti emerges not only as cultural heritage but as a reservoir of democratic vitality, capable of inspiring new generations toward justice, plurality, and human dignity.

3. Gendered Agency in Mirabai's Devotion

Mirabai's defiance of patriarchal structures transformed the spiritual into the political. Her

devotion to Krishna became a metaphor for resistance against enforced roles of wifehood, aristocratic duty, and religious compliance. Mirabai's assertion of divine love challenged not only social codes but also epistemologies of authority.

Her poetry, deeply personal yet universally resonant, anticipated feminist critiques of both religion and patriarchy. Importantly, she did not reject religiosity; instead, she redefined it as a path of autonomy and ethical dissent. Her voice situates Bhakti as a space where gender justice and moral transformation coalesce.

Moreover, her example challenges secular feminist assumptions that religion must always be exclusionary. Mirabai exemplifies a mode of liberatory religiosity, where the divine is not a patriarchal lawgiver but a source of intimacy, freedom, and love. This disrupts both the religious orthodoxy and the modernist narrative that excludes sacred forms of resistance from feminist frameworks.

Interpretation & Discussion

Bhakti poetry, while devotional in tone, was politically subversive. It operated within and against prevailing power structures—disputing caste hierarchies, gender norms, and sectarian boundaries. Kabir's anti-sectarian universalism, Ravidas's utopian egalitarianism, and Mirabai's gendered devotion exemplify an indigenous tradition of public reasoning.

Their interventions fittingly resonate with Ambedkar's call for constitutional morality—ethical commitment beyond formal legality. Bhakti saints, like constitutional thinkers, imagined a world where dignity was not inherited but enacted through compassion and justice.

This challenges the binary of sacred vs. secular. Bhakti's spiritual authority did not withdraw from the world; it confronted it. In doing so, it prefigured a uniquely Indian model of secularism—not the Western notion of exclusion, but a relational, plural engagement with religious and moral diversity. Rajeev Bhargava's "principled distance" aptly describes this Indian paradigm: religion is not expunged but held accountable to constitutional ethics.

Bhakti's pedagogy was emotional as well as rational. It appealed to hridaya (heart) and manas (mind), cultivating a shared affective ground that modern constitutional democracies often overlook. Ambedkar's underappreciated emphasis on fraternity—emotional solidarity—finds deep resonance in Bhakti's language of love, kinship, and shared suffering.

The Bhakti poets were also counter-modern in a productive way. They refused the Enlightenment's monopoly on democracy, showing that ethical citizenship and public critique existed in India long before colonial modernity. Bhakti's affective rationality and oral pedagogy created what may be called "sacred citizenship"—where moral imagination, not just legal membership, defines democratic belonging.

By retrieving Bhakti's legacy, we reframe the humanities as not merely cultural study but as a form of political renewal. In a time of rising communalism and authoritarianism, Bhakti offers a vocabulary of resistance grounded in love, justice, and pluralism. It reminds us that democratic culture must be nourished not only by rights and institutions, but by collective memory, song, and shared moral courage.

Conclusion

This paper has argued that India's democratic ethos is not a Western graft but an extension of indigenous ethical traditions, particularly Bhakti. Poets such as Kabir, Ravidas, and Mirabai articulated a vernacular constitutionalism—centuries before the Constitution was written—through their insistence on equality, dignity, and inner freedom. Bhakti should be reimagined not as devotional nostalgia but as political philosophy in verse. Its affective, ethical, and inclusive dimensions enrich the discourse on citizenship, public reason, and secularism. By breaking down caste, defying patriarchy, and affirming the divine in all beings, Bhakti poets laid moral foundations for democratic community. Their legacy invites us to envision a "sacred secularism" in which the spiritual is not antithetical to the democratic but is integral to its moral life. In recovering these vernacular genealogies of dissent, we rediscover a more rooted and humane version of Indian democracy—one where the Constitution

speaks not only in laws, but in the language of poetry, compassion, and ethical courage. At a time when foundational values are under siege, Bhakti literature reminds us that democracy is not merely a system—it is a moral inheritance.

References

1. Bhargava, R. (2013). Political responses to religious diversity in ancient and modern India. Studies in Indian Politics, 1(1), 21-41. https://doi.org/10.1177/2321023013482786
2. Blanc, M. (2020). Citizenship, secularism and religion: A 'Triangle' in permanent tension. Citizenship and Religion, 35-56. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-54610-6_3
3. Gopani, C. (2023). Democratizing spiritual sphere: Radical bhakti traditions in the Telugu-speaking region in India. CASTE / A Global Journal on Social Exclusion, 4(2), 319-335. https://doi.org/10.26812/caste.v4i2.680
4. Hidalgo, O. (2020). Constitutional democracy and Indian secularism: Considerations from the perspective of democratic antinomies. Dimensions of Constitutional Democracy, 91-105. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-3899-5_5
5. Keune, J. (2021). Sightings of bhakti and its social impact. Shared Devotion, Shared Food, 47-66. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197574836.003.0003
6. Mehta, V. R. (1996). Foundations of Indian political thought: An interpretation : from Manu to the present day. Manohar Publishers.
7. Mishra, P. (2020). Language and the making of modern India: Nationalism and the vernacular in colonial Odisha, 1803–1956. Cambridge University Press.
8. Pechilis, K. (2023). Bhakti and looking at what we do not want to see. Devotional Visualities, 261-284. https://doi.org/10.5040/9781350214217.0018
9. Rao, A. (2009). The caste question: Dalits and the politics of modern India. University of California Press.
10. Sen, A. (2012). The argumentative Indian: Writings on Indian history, culture and identity. Penguin Books India.
11. Yilmaz, I. (2018). Erdoganism on pluralism, citizenship, secularism and democracy. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3208203.