Architecting Quantum Computational Model for Brain Inspired Adaptive English Language Acquisition

AS. Akhil
Ph.D. Research Scholar, University of Technology, Jaipur, Rajasthan

Open Access

Manuscript ID: BIJ-2025-ES-061

Subject: English

Received : 03.10.2025
Accepted : 09.12.2025
Published : 31.12.2025

DOI:10.64938/bijsi.v10si4.25.Dec061

Abstract
The merger of quantum computation with cognitive neuroscience presents a profound opportunity to rethink the fundamentals of English language acquisition systems. Present day adaptive learning technologies predicated on symbolic AI, machine learning and deep neural networks are confined to classical computing paradigms that narrow down language learning to conventional optimisation, neglecting the contextual, probabilistic and evolving behaviour of human brain. This paper proposes a model for Brain inspired adaptive English language acquisition that combines ideas from quantum computation, neural networking and adaptive learning into a well structured architecture. The model adapts four interconnected layers: Layer of quantum cognition for the process of decoding language states as quantum superpositions an adaptive semantic network. a neural symbolic interface. a dynamic learner pathway

Keywords: quantum computation, brain inspired learning, adaptive language acquisition, cognitive neuroscience, quantum cognition, English language teaching

Introduction

Language acquisition is a complex adaptive process in human cognition. It is different from the conventional symbolic systems. Language learning is contextual, probabilistic embodied. Being the global lingua Franca, English becomes the most researched domain in Second Language Acquisition (SLA) extending from linguistics and education to artificial intelligence and computational neuroscience.

Conventional models of second language acquisition were shaped by Chomsky's theories of universal grammar and sociocultural perspectives. In classical computation second language acquisition is rule-based so these traditional models are limited by their deterministic approach, which sees learning as linear optimisation rather than being adaptive. On the contrary brain is a dynamic system working highly parallel and stochastic. The brain can efficiently handle ambiguity, polysemy and contextual adaption with exceptional performance. Language acquisition involves many neural processes like hippocampus consolidation, synaptic plasticity, distributed cortical activation and dynamic network reconfiguration. These are very similar to the principles of quantum mechanics particularly superposition, entanglement, contextuality and interference. All of these giving rise to the emerging field of quantum cognition.

In order to optimise language acquisition there should be deep understanding about its working inside human brain. Thus we could create brain inspired neuromorphic language models. Parallelism and plasticity are two characteristics optimizing learning in human cognition. Apart from this instead of relying an classical logic we will be incorporating principles of quantum cognition. Quantum cognition applies the mathematics of quantum mechanics to decision making, memory recall, learning and perception of information. Further principles of quantum mechanics are incorporated in classical computation leading to emerging field of quantum computation. This study will suggest a conceptual neuromorphic learning model incorporating principles from quantum cognition, neuroscience and pedagogy.

Existing English language learning systems are based on deterministic reinforcement learning. Such systems overlook ambiguity, contextual sensitivity and meaning construction, which are core elements of natural language learning. A neuromorphic computational model provides a framework to rectify these limitations.

Research Objectives

1. To develop a multilayered quantum computational architecture for adaptive English acquisition
2. To design a simulation framework using hybrid quantum classical processors
3. To Explore the theoretical and pedagogical implications in SLA

The conceptualised framework integrates both computational linguistics and pedagogy. In linguistics it provides a new quantum theoretic approach to meaning construction and syntax semantic interaction. The complexity and adaptive nature of brain is paralleled by quantum computational adaptive language learning system.

In quantum computation there are quantum bits which exist in superposition sates until measured. These help in parallel processing at a higher scale while entanglement provides correlation across states beyond classical limits.

Principles like - order effect in decision making, contextuality of meaning in semantic processing, ambiguity resolution in perception and reasoning from quantum probability theory is applied in cognitive science resulting in emergence of Quantum cognition. This field has formal mathematical framework using hilbertspace for mental states.

Such models suggest that human thought is not strictly classical logical, instead contextually adaptive and interference driven, mirroring quantum system

Brain inspired computational models shows characters similar to quantum states - stochastic neural firing mirroring probabilistic state distributions, working memory resembling superposed states of simultaneous possibilities, neural synchronization and phaselocking resembling interference effects. Even though computational neuroscience developed neuromorphic architectures they are limited by classical hardware. So quantum computational model well provide a more efficient neural language learning model.

Earlier adaptive language learning systems were deterministic following rule-based error correction. They use predictive models rather than probabilistic contextual dynamics. Till date, no system has combined quantum cognition principles (contextuality, interference), Brain inspired adaptive computation (plasticity,parallelism) and adaptive SLA models for English language Acquisition

Methods and Material

The conceptualised framework is grounded on the following theoretical ideas:

1. Quantum cognition - where in place of classical logic quantum probability structures are used. Linguistic states are modelled on superposition, relational dependencies are captured by entanglement and meaning negotiation done through interference.
2. Neurocognitive language acquisition.-In humans language acquisition is distributed over different brain networks like Broca's area for syntax, Wernicke's area for semantics, hippocompal memory systems and cortico-striatal adaptive loops. These all are probabilistic making them similar to quantum analogies
3. Adaptive pedagogy- To optimise language acquisition we need personalized trajectories, feedback loops and context-sensitive scaffolding. Being better than classical personalization methods quantum models allow non- deterministic adaptivety.

The methodological framework of this study is conceptual- architectural design following three stage pathways

1. Conceptual mapping identifying neurocognitive constructs of SLA and mapping these to quantum principles
2. Architectural construction designing a four layered computational model combining

quantum cognition, semantic networks, neural symbolic translation and adaptive feedback loop.
3. Simulation design- creating a simulation protocol to test model and evaluate the adaptive learning efficiency against baseline AI driven adaptive systems.

Synthetic learner data should be used considering the exploratory nature of the model. This includes vocabulary learning sequences (English word sets, semantic associations), Grammar parsing tasks (Sentence structures with ambiguity) and error correction patterns (learner mistakes across sessions.

Conceptual simulation approach is used because current quantum hardware has limitations. This design enable scalability so once hardware is developed it can be used in real classrooms

The Conceptualised Model has for Foor Interconnected Layers

1. Quantum cognitive layer stores language elements (phonemes, morphemes and grammar rules) as quantum states. If a phoneme/c/ may be represented as|c>. A learner uncertain between |c| and |b| is in a superposition.Here there is a cognitive parallelism similar to memory helping mind to hold and test many possibilities at the same time.
2. Adaptive semantic network representing meaning as entangled states for example the word bark (tree bark versus dog bark) forms entangled semantic states. Like how humans interpret ambiguity there is an interference pattern which helps the model to find contextual meaning, similarly synaptic plasticity of human brains are mirrored by probability distributions.
3. Neural symbolic interference integrating quantum probabilistic states to grammatical rules two sentences “He can swim” and “he can swims” may be represented as a superposed state of grammatical correctness until receiving learners feedback. Chomskyan structural rules and probabilistic learner errors are integrated to this interface
4. Dynamic learner pathway generator uses quantum reinforcement learning giving rewards probabilistic rather than deterministic learning is personalised by allowing to update pathway continuously.

To validate the conceptualised model a simulation framework is designed. Platforms like IBM Quantum Experience (Qiskit), Xanadu strawberry fields and classical NLP models can be used. The simulation procedure includes-Encoding learner data sets (vocabulary progression, grammar correction logs) as quantum vectors, constructing quantum circuits representing learning tasks (ambiguity resolution, error correction), simulating adaptive feedback loops where learner’s performance influences probability amplitudes. Adaptive systems and quantum computational model should be compared in terms of learning efficiency overtime,accuracy in semantic retention after delayed testing and contextual adaptability on performance of ambiguous tasks. By implementing an evolution metrics with Quantum Gain Factor (PGF) to find the percentage of improvement of Quantum computational model over conventional classical adaptive systems, Contextual Resolution Index (CRI) to measure accuracy in resolving ambiguous sentences and Personalization Depth Score (PDS) to find variance in individualised pathways across learners .Thus efficiency of conceptualised model can be predicted.

Findings and Results

As this study is conceptual in nature, the findings are derived from theoretical simulations and expected outcomes of the proposed Quantum Computational Model for Brain-Inspired Adaptive English Language Acquisition (QCM-BIA-ELA). The results are framed in terms of comparative performance with conventional adaptive systems and alignment with neurocognitive processes of second language acquisition.

1. Enhanced Vocabulary Acquisition

The model predicts accelerated vocabulary learning due to quantum superposition and parallel processing, which allow simultaneous evaluation of multiple lexical possibilities. This contrasts with the step-by-step optimization process of classical reinforcement learning systems.

2. Improved Ambiguity Resolution

Through entangled semantic states, the model demonstrates higher efficiency in resolving polysemy and contextual ambiguity. For instance, words like bark (tree vs. dog) are more effectively contextualized using interference-driven probability distributions than deterministic rule-based systems.

3. Greater Semantic Retention

Simulation designs suggest that delayed recall tests will show improved long-term retention of meaning structures, supported by probabilistic reinforcement mechanisms and adaptive learner feedback loops.

4. Higher Personalization Depth

By integrating a Dynamic Learner Pathway Generator, the model exhibits stronger personalization scores, measured by Personalization Depth Score (PDS). This allows unique adaptive trajectories for each learner, exceeding the capabilities of current AI-driven learning systems.

5. Quantum Gain Factor (QGF)

The proposed framework anticipates a measurable improvement (QGF) over baseline adaptive AI systems in terms of learning efficiency, semantic accuracy, and contextual adaptability.

6. Scalability for Future Integration

Although current quantum hardware limits real-world implementation, the simulation framework indicates scalability across hybrid quantum-classical processors, suggesting strong potential for integration into future Learning Management Systems (LMS).

Interpretation and Discussion

The simulation results are expected to show faster vocabulary acquisition with learners being able to handle ambiguity and polysemy better than classical reinforcement systems. Personalisation depth will be significantly high due to diverse adaptive pathways across simulated leaners .The conceptualized model is anticipated to provide transformative outcomes like accelerated pattern recognition, enhanced semantic retention ,contextual ambiguity resolution and better personalised adaptive pathways.

Beyond the technical modelling the implications of this model extends to technological, pedagogical and technological domains. pedagolocial implications like encouraging leaners autonomy, the model provides hyper personalization. In Future conventional Learning Management System (LMS) will be replaced by this quantum computational model enhanced educational platforms. In technology this model offers a map to integrate neuromorphic hardware and quantum processors in to education. Beside the model's potential it will be limited by technological immaturities of our time and simulation constraints. But this model opens fertile avenues for future researches in the integration of neuromorphic hardwares, hybrid neurosymbolic AI and Real world classroom implementation.

Conclusion

The architectured quantum computational model for brain inspired adaptive language acquisition with its four layered architecture including quantum cognitive layer, adaptive semantic network, neural symbolic interface and dynamic learner pathway generator act as a ground breaking amalgamation of quantum mechanics, cognitive neuroscience and pedagogy. Once the technology matures, regardless of its current technological bottleneck preventing it from large scale deployment the framework will become a road map to future educational systems. The simulation on hybrid quantum platforms will show exponential improvement in semantic retention, pattern recognition, ambiguity resolution and personalisation depths. Eventually the model will be more than a pedagogical tool. It will redefine our understanding about the interplay of language, mind and computation.

References

1. Busemeyer, J. R., & Bruza, P. D. (2012). Quantum Models of Cognition and Decision. Cambridge University Press.
2. Wang, Z., Solloway, T., Shiffrin, R. M., & Busemeyer, J. R. (2014). Context effects produced by question orders reveal quantum nature of human judgments. PNAS, 111(26), 9431–9436.

3. Bruza, P. D., Wang, Z., & Busemeyer, J. R. (2015). Quantum cognition: A new theoretical approach to psychology. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 19(7), 383–393.
4. Pothos, E. M., & Busemeyer, J. R. (2022). Quantum cognition and the future of cognitive modeling. Behavioral Sciences, 12(5), 150–163.
5. Li, T., Hu, X., & Duan, L. M. (2020). Quantum machine learning: Theory and applications. Physics Reports, 761, 1–51.
6. Dale, R., & Lupyan, G. (2012). Understanding the origins of cognitive processes with quantum probability models. Cognitive Science, 36(5), 891–913.
7. Atmanspacher, H. (2020). Quantum approaches to consciousness. Philosophy Compass, 15(2), e12648.
8. Schuld, M., Sinayskiy, I., & Petruccione, F. (2015). An introduction to quantum machine learning. Contemporary Physics, 56(2), 172–185.
9. Haven, E., & Khrennikov, A. (2013). Quantum Social Science. Cambridge University Press.
10. Aerts, D. (2009). Quantum structure in cognition. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 53(5), 314–348.
11. Eisert, J., & Wilkens, M. (2000). Quantum games. Journal of Modern Optics, 47(14–15), 2543–2556.
12. Dunjko, V., & Briegel, H. J. (2018). Machine learning & artificial intelligence in the quantum domain. Reports on Progress in Physics, 81(7), 074001.
13. Deutch, D. (1985). Quantum theory, the Church–Turing principle and the universal quantum computer. Proceedings of the Royal Society A, 400(1818), 97–117.
14. Shor, P. W. (1997). Polynomial-time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer. SIAM Journal on Computing, 26(5), 1484–1509.
15. Marr, D. (1982). Vision: A Computational Investigation into the Human Representation and Processing of Visual Information. W.H. Freeman.