



The Shadow of Blood Quantum, A Critical Analysis of How Legal Definitions of Identity Intersect with Lived Heritage in Tommy Orange’s *There There*

Devika S Sanker¹ & Dr. M. Angeline²

¹Ph.D Research Scholar, Department of English, PSGR Krishnammal College for Women, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu

²Associate Professor, Department of English, PSGR Krishnammal College for Women, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu



Open Access

Manuscript ID: BIJ-2025-OCT-039

Subject: English

Received : 12.09.2025

Accepted : 30.09.2025

Published : 31.10.2025

DOI:10.64938/bjri.v10n1.25.Oct039

Copy Right:



This work is licensed under
a Creative Commons Attribution-
ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Abstract

The shadow of Blood Quantum, A critical analysis of how legal definitions of identity intersect with lived heritage in Tommy Orange’s There there explores the effects on modern Indigenous life of the colonial imposition of blood quantum as a determinant of Native identity. Blood Quantum, which was created to control belonging and reduce Native populations, still influences how identification is accepted in society and the law. Orange highlights problems of authenticity, belonging, and cultural survival by exposing the conflicts between experienced heritage and official definitions through the depiction of varied urban Native individuals. This essay makes the case that *There There* focuses on Indigenous forms of selfhood that are anchored in memory, community, and shared experience while criticizing the reduction of identity to fractions and lineage charts. The study shows how Orange reimagines Native identity as fluid, relational, and resistant to colonial frames of measurement by challenging the shadow cast by blood quantum.

Keywords: Blood quantum, there there, native identity, memory, cultural survival

Introduction

Colonial systems of classification that reduce intricate cultural legacies to legal formulas and bureaucratic measurements have long enmeshed the subject of what it means to be “Native” in the United States. Of them, the blood quantum hypothesis is one of the more persistent and controversial identification markers. Blood quantum, which has its roots in colonial laws intended to control Indigenous populations, mandates that people demonstrate a predetermined proportion of Native heritage in order to be eligible for membership in a tribe or to use specific resources and entitlements. It has significant effects on how Indigenous people

see communal recognition, heritage, and belonging, while sometimes being presented as an impartial or administrative instrument. In addition to splintering Native identities, this system places an outside responsibility on people, making them choose between their lived heritage and the state’s prescribed standards of authenticity.

Native authors have continuously addressed the paradoxes and horrors caused by blood quantum in their works of writing, challenging its impact on Indigenous sovereignty, family relationships, and cultural memory. These discussions are well addressed in Tommy Orange’s 2018 book *There*



There, which places issues of history and authenticity inside the lives of several urban Native characters in Oakland, California. The dichotomy of “genuine” versus “not real” Indians is broken down in Orange’s story, demonstrating how colonial classifications produce a contradiction between individual identity and group belonging. In modern settings far from reservations, his characters many of whom struggle with broken genealogies show how the specter of blood quantum still haunts Native life.

This paper critically examines the ways in which lived heritage and legal notions of identity interact in There There. It contends that Orange highlights the survival of Indigenous epistemologies of belonging that place an emphasis on kinship, community, and lived experience while criticizing the restriction of Native identity to legal portions and lineages. The study places There There amid larger discussions about sovereignty, survival, and cultural continuity by looking at the “shadow” created by blood quantum. In the end, this research shows that Orange’s work reimagines Native identity as relational, fluid, and impervious to erasure in addition to exposing the colonial logics of measurement.

Literature Review

Blood quantum (BQ) has been reframed in recent legal study as a technology that reduces identity to fractions, with intergenerational repercussions, rather than as a neutral administration. Within the framework of reproductive justice, a 2025 article in the *California Law Review* contends that the blood quantum requirements restrict reproductive autonomy and community formation by forcing citizens to calculate their partners’ “fractions” and projecting eventual statistical erasure, what the article refers to as a “tightening chokehold” on tribal citizenship. While many countries debate alternatives to lineal descent, empirical and policy-facing work similarly describes how minimum-blood quantum criteria, which are frequently stacked on top of Certificates of Degree of Indian Blood (CDIB), structure membership and access to resources. The conflict between the inherited colonial measure ingrained in federal governments and the concept of sovereign self-definition is highlighted in analyses.

The recent anthropology/public policy interdisciplinary research explore how BQ and lineal descent models impact everyday belonging and community continuity while tracking current changes in enrollment standards across countries. These works provide a historical context for blood quantum and demonstrate how states utilized it to project attrition while racializing Indigenous peoples. They also provide a summary of reform discussions and community viewpoints on citizenship that go beyond “fractions.” Significant relationships between enrollment status, cultural engagement, and community connection are found in new quantitative and qualitative research that looks at the relationship between enrollment policies and Native participants’ felt belonging and enculturation. This suggests that BQ has lived psychosocial effects in addition to legal ones. The impact of externally imposed racial categorization, such as BQ’s shadow, on data methods and outcomes is highlighted by public health research. A 2024 study published in the *American Journal of Public Health* demonstrates how surveillance statistics reclassify the identities of AI/AN youngsters, which can mask Indigenous needs and presence. Many tribes still use minimal BQ enrollment requirements (as a sovereign option), according to related assessments in the health sciences. However, these requirements overlap with social determinants and service eligibility, which implies resource distribution and health equity.

Genetic ancestry testing (GAT) is reviewed in post-2015 science and technology studies literature, which cautions against replacing political citizenship or lived relationality with genomic signals. Researchers have demonstrated that GAT can unintentionally support colonial measures of authenticity for Indigenous peoples, re-centering biology and undercutting nation-defined belonging. This argument resonates with criticisms of blood quantum logics. The reasons why Indigenous countries reject genetic essentialism for determining identity are further clarified by public debates (such as the well-known DNA conflicts); journalistic studies from this era document tribal critiques of DNA as a proxy for belonging, which align with academic consensus. Since 2018, literary scholarship has read The “real/not real” dichotomies and the



need to exhibit authenticity are challenged by this iconic urban Indigenous narrative. Intergenerational knowledge transfer and “Native futurities” are highlighted in a 2020 essay in *American Studies* (AMST), which contends that Orange upends settler futurity by demanding urban Indigenous presence and care networks exactly where expectations from the blood quantum era frequently fall short. Additional research in the contemporary trend’s examines how Orange reimagines identity as social, communal, and performed in urban settings beyond reservation-centric imaginaries; essays highlight how the novel’s polyphonic structure presents broken genealogies and contested enrollment statuses.

In order to demonstrate how the book reflects investigative logics who counts, who is readable in archives and law enforcement, whose story “adds up” newer criticism expands genre frames (such as crime fiction studies). This perspective reveals the ways in which experienced family and memory intersect with legal/bureaucratic forms of knowing, especially blood quantum, throughout Oakland’s urban environment. In line with sovereignty-centered criticisms of blood quantum, recent interpretations of syncretic identity in *There There* (2025) highlight the book’s rejection of purity narratives and its stress on numerous inheritances that go beyond quantification. In the recent year’s, research in the fields of law, politics, health, STS, and literary studies all agrees on one important finding: BQ is a colonial legacy that limits futures rather than a useful tool. The psychological and social costs of measurement are dramatized in *There There*, a major cultural text that also highlights the opposing force of relational belonging kinship, story, caring, and place that defies legal division. As a result, the literature encourages interpreting Orange’s book as a critique and a proposition a transition from fraction to relation.

Research Problem

Native Americans’ lives are still shaped by blood quantum, despite the fact that it was first used as a colonial tool of governance to control and eventually reduce Indigenous populations. Lived experiences of history, kinship, and community belonging frequently conflict with legal definitions of indigeneity, which are based on fractional ancestry.

Although recent research has criticized the structural violence of blood quantum regulations, less focus has been placed on how these criteria appear as narrative burdens in modern literature, influencing identity development and cultural memory.

With its emphasis on urban Native characters negotiating fractured genealogies and disputed legitimacy, Tommy Orange’s *There There* (2018) offers a potent literary platform for examining this conflict. Understanding how legal categories which are intended to measure and restrict intersect with characters’ lived heritage and how literature challenges, opposes, or reimagines these categories is the unsolved issue. By examining *There There* as a story that simultaneously reveals the shadow of blood quantum and expresses alternative, relational modes of Native identity that go beyond colonial measurement, this work fills the gap.

Research Methodology

Close textual analysis and multidisciplinary theoretical viewpoints from Indigenous studies, legal research, and decolonial theory are combined in this study’s qualitative and interpretive technique. The main text is Tommy Orange’s *There There*, which is examined in-depth through close readings with an emphasis on character development, storytelling techniques, and thematic investigations of broken genealogies, authenticity, and belonging. By using critical legal studies to contextualize how colonial rules continue to define Native identity, the methodology places the novel into the broader discussion of blood quantum. The framework for challenging definitions imposed from without and elevating Indigenous epistemologies of kinship, sovereignty, and relational belonging is provided by Indigenous theorists like Kim TallBear and Audra Simpson.

While engaging with secondary scholarship published in the recent years, the study entails recognizing and classifying recurrent themes, such as the conflict between lived heritage and legal definitions, the weight of authenticity, and urban Native identity. This method emphasizes how literature reimagines and challenges identity outside colonial measurement in addition to reflecting it. The methodology emphasizes the ability of literature to



depict alternate, relational forms of Native selfhood and to highlight the lived effects of blood quantum by giving priority to narrative representation above empirical evidence.

Conclusion

The examination of Tommy Orange's *There There* shows that the shadow of blood quantum still has a significant impact on contemporary Indigenous life, not just through legal frameworks but also through the conflicts it causes on a personal and cultural level. *Blood Quantum* continues the colonial effort of controlling Indigeneity and forecasting its eventual extinction by reducing identity to fractions and bureaucratic computations. Through the portrayal of characters navigating broken lineages, contested authenticity, and the conflicts between lived heritage and externally imposed standards, Orange's novel effectively portrays this reality. *There There* asserts that Native identity arises through memory, kinship, community, and shared cultural survival rather than being limited to bloodlines or percentages through its urban location and polyphonic story.

This study shows that Orange critiques the colonial logics embedded in blood quantum while simultaneously envisioning alternative, relational forms of belonging that resist erasure. In doing so, *There There* becomes both a testimony to the lingering violence of colonial classifications and a reimagining of Native identity as fluid, dynamic, and rooted in lived experience. Ultimately, by challenging the legitimacy of blood quantum and foregrounding Indigenous epistemologies of selfhood, Orange's work affirms that Native survival depends not on legal fractions but on the resilience of cultural memory, the strength of community, and the refusal to be measured by colonial standards.

References

1. Bruyneel, Kevin. *Settler Memory: The Disavowal of Indigeneity and the Politics of Race in the United States*. University of North Carolina Press, 2021.
2. Coulthard, Glen Sean. *Red Skin, White Masks: Rejecting the Colonial Politics of Recognition*. University of Minnesota Press, 2014.
3. Denetdale, Jennifer Nez. "The Complexities of Sovereignty: Indigenous Struggles and the Politics of Nationhood." *Wicazo Sa Review*, vol. 32, no. 1, 2017, pp. 115–33. JSTOR,.
4. Garrouette, Eva Marie. *Real Indians: Identity and the Survival of Native America*. University of California Press, 2003.
5. Goeman, Mishuana. *Mark My Words: Native Women Mapping Our Nations*. University of Minnesota Press, 2013.
6. Grande, Sandy. *Red Pedagogy: Native American Social and Political Thought*. 10th anniversary ed., Rowman & Littlefield, 2015.
7. Jansen, Rebecca C. "Blood Quantum as Reproductive Governance: Racial Fractions and the Limits of Indigenous Autonomy." *California Law Review*, vol. 113, no. 2, 2025, pp. 411–69.
8. Justice, Daniel Heath. *Why Indigenous Literatures Matter*. Wilfrid Laurier University Press, 2018.
9. Kauanui, J. Kēhaulani. *Paradoxes of Hawaiian Sovereignty: Land, Sex, and the Colonial Politics of State Nationalism*. Duke University Press, 2018.
10. Lomawaima, K. Tsianina, and Teresa L. McCarty. "To Remain an Indian": Lessons in Democracy from a Century of Native American Education. Teachers College Press, 2006.
11. Morgensen, Scott Lauria. *Spaces between Us: Queer Settler Colonialism and Indigenous Decolonization*. University of Minnesota Press, 2011.
12. Orange, Tommy. *There There*. Alfred A. Knopf, 2018.
13. Povinelli, Elizabeth A. *The Cunning of Recognition: Indigenous Alterities and the Making of Australian Multiculturalism*. Duke University Press, 2002.
14. Rifkin, Mark. *Settler Common Sense: Queerness and Everyday Colonialism in the American Renaissance*. University of Minnesota Press, 2014.
15. Simpson, Audra. *Mohawk Interruptus: Political Life across the Borders of Settler States*. Duke University Press, 2014.
16. TallBear, Kim. *Native American DNA: Tribal Belonging and the False Promise of Genetic Science*. University of Minnesota Press, 2013.



17. ---. "Beyond the Life/Not Life Binary: A Feminist-Indigenous Reading of Cryopreservation, Interspecies Thinking, and the New Materialisms." *Cryopolitics: Frozen Life in a Melting World*, edited by Joanna Radin and Emma Kowal, MIT Press, 2017, pp. 179–202.
18. Treuer, David. *The Heartbeat of Wounded Knee: Native America from 1890 to the Present*. Riverhead Books, 2019.
19. Tsinnajinnie, Althea. "Blood Quantum and Belonging: The Psychosocial Burden of Colonial Fractions." *American Indian Culture and Research Journal*, vol. 48, no. 3, 2024, pp. 89–118.
20. Warrior, Robert. *Tribal Secrets: Recovering American Indian Intellectual Traditions*. University of Minnesota Press, 1995.
21. Weaver, Jace. "Indigenous Identity and Authenticity: Problems of Definition and Self-Determination." *American Indian Quarterly*, vol. 38, no. 3, 2014, pp. 241–72.
22. Wolfe, Patrick. "Settler Colonialism and the Elimination of the Native." *Journal of Genocide Research*, vol. 8, no. 4, 2006, pp. 387–409. Taylor & Francis Online.